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Abstract

Ghana’s electricity generation capacity is currently insufficient to meet demand, making power outages and load shedding common. The resulting impact is potentially devastating for the country’s growth prospects. Traditionally, lack of an affordable and reliable fuel supply for power generation, coupled with ineffective institutions and an unfavourable investment climate, have resulted in Ghana’s electricity sector performing poorly. In light of the 2007 discovery of natural gas reserves in Ghanaian waters, this paper examines whether domestic gas could advance the performance of the electricity sector, and if so, how. The results of our analysis show that utilization of gas reserves in Ghana’s gas-to-power market is an economically superior strategy compared to an export-oriented utilization scheme. The lack of an effective regulatory framework for investment, skill shortages, and an inefficient electricity pricing structure continue to be the main constraining factors. Our analysis also considers possible approaches to modification of the electricity tariff in order to send the right signal to potential investors in generation capacity, without compromising the affordability of power supply.
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1. Introduction

Suffering from high inflation rates, falling commodity prices, and massive unemployment, Ghana was at the brink of economic collapse at the start of the new millennium. Since then, however, the economic climate in Ghana has improved and the country has received frequent praise from international observers (IMF, 2013: 4; The Economist, 2013). Indeed, many have referred to Ghana as Africa’s rising star (Lenhardt, Menocal, and Engel, 2015: 7; Brunts, 2008), thanks in no small measure to the country’s stable multi-party democracy – by regional standards – and annual economic growth rates in excess of 6 per cent over the last decade. With the increase of economic activities and households’ income, the demand for electricity in Ghana has surged too. Crucially, however, because Ghanaian generation capacity has not risen proportionally, electricity is presently in short supply. Since 2008, load shedding has become an ordinary aspect of life for households and firms in Ghana. As the cost of compensating for poor electricity reliability through back-up diesel generators is immense, many of the small businesses have adjusted their opening hours in order to avoid the worst of the load shedding and power outages in their local area. Such adaptive measures significantly lower productivity. The lack of electricity therefore forms a serious barrier to further economic growth and development in Ghana.

According to the World Bank, Ghana currently loses 1 per cent in economic growth per annum due to frequent power outages and systematic load shedding (World Bank, 2013: 76). Ghana Grid Company (GRIDCo) – the public company in charge of electricity transmission – goes further, estimating that the shortfall in electricity supply reduces economic growth by 2–6 per cent per annum (2010: 9). Either way, comparing these estimates to Ghana’s average annual GDP growth of 6.5 per cent over the last 10 years makes it clear that a shortage of electricity is taking a substantial toll on economic growth in Ghana. On a microeconomic level, Ghanaian companies experienced additional operating costs of $62m per month in 2014, due to power outages and load shedding which had to be bridged through the use of expensive diesel generators (Boateng, 2014).

The 2007 discovery in Ghanaian waters of the Jubilee gas and oil field, which is estimated to hold 3 billion barrels of oil (bbl) and 335 billion cubic feet (Bcf) of natural gas, could have a significant impact on the Ghana’s electricity sector. This paper discusses the resulting opportunities and challenges faced by the electricity sector and explores the possible approaches for enhancing generation adequacy. We examine how domestic gas could advance the performance of the electricity sector, and outline the economic principles upon which the regulatory model for investment and electricity tariffs need to be based in order to send the right signals to the potential investors in generation capacity.

The next section provides an overview of the electricity sector in Ghana, before section 3 describes the discovery of natural gas in 2007 and its implications for the sector. Section 4 explores the economic value of gas-to-power and export utilization and also considers their institutional and political economy perspectives. Key challenges facing the electricity sector in its bid to enhance its reliability are explained in section 5, before concluding remarks are set out in section 6.

---

2 The supply of electricity provides an imperative foundation upon which economic growth can be achieved. According to Bernard (2012, 41), reliable electricity supply ‘mostly acts as an enabler of development, potentially affecting a large array of outcomes (economic, social, environmental, etc.).’ Also, Eberhard (2007: 236) concludes that connecting South African townships to the electricity grid created the basis for more economic activity in townships (which some townships went on to utilize better than others).
2. The state of Ghana’s electricity sector

2.1 Power generation

Ghana’s current total dependable installed capacity for electricity generation amounts to 2,125 MW (World Bank, 2013: 9), of which 51 per cent is hydroelectric, 48.9 per cent thermal, and 0.1 per cent renewable (see Table 1 below).

Table 1: Installed electricity generation capacity Ghana, 2013

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Generation company</th>
<th>Installed capacity (MW)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Volta River Authority (VRA) Hydro</td>
<td>1,080</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Volta River Authority (VRA) Thermal</td>
<td>771</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Volta River Authority (VRA) Solar</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Independent Power Producers (IPPs) Thermal</td>
<td>271</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


Despite the addition of approximately 1,000 MW of capacity through the construction of thermal plants since 1998 – before which the country exclusively relied on hydroelectric power generation – the installed capacity does not currently meet electricity demand in Ghana. According to World Bank projections presented in Figure 1, Ghana remains some 625 MW of capacity short of satisfying total electricity demand (World Bank, 2013: 10). Taking into consideration Ghana’s economic growth trajectory and its expanding population, it is estimated that in 2020 the country will need a total installed capacity of 4,000 MW (World Bank, 2013: 9) – almost double the current total.

Figure 1: Peak capacity demand with 20% reserves margin (MW), 2012–2022


Given its geographic endowment, Ghana’s hydroelectric generation potential is already largely exhausted, while the large-scale installation of renewable electricity generation types, such as solar
and wind, is not feasible in a region which has such little experience with renewable energies. Therefore, efforts to catch up with Ghana’s rising demand for electricity are focused on increasing thermal power generation. The Energy Sector Strategy and Development Plan (ESSDP), published by the Ministry of Energy, explicitly states that achieving 50 per cent thermal-based generation capacity by 2015 is a key goal of the country’s energy strategy (Ministry of Energy, 2010: 6). While this goal has been narrowly missed (thermal generation capacity stands at 48.9%), efforts to increase thermal power generation continue. The state-owned Volta River Authority (VRA) – Ghana’s main electric power utility corporation – is in the process of upgrading simple-cycle plants to combined-cycle plants (VRA, 2015). Together with greenfield thermal developments, the VRA is planning to add 1,000 MW of thermal capacity within five years.

Ghana’s thermal power plants have been (and are being) built with the intention to fuel them with natural gas supplied to Ghana through the West African Gas Pipeline (WAGP). Contrary to the expectations of the Ghanaian government, the WAGP has hitherto been unable to fulfil its role as a reliable supplier of gas. After being delayed by two years, the WAGP eventually began transporting gas from Nigeria to Ghana and other West African countries in 2009. To the disadvantage of thermal generation, gas transfers to Ghana have been inconsistent ever since. Whereas 120m Mmscf/d (million standard cubic feet per day) of gas exports were contractually agreed upon between the Nigerian and Ghanaian governments, this quantity has never been provided by Nigeria due to high domestic demand and political instability in Nigeria’s oil-producing regions. During 2014 Ghana on average received only 30 Mmscf/d of gas (Energy Commission, 2014: 19). While this presents only one fourth of the agreed volume, delivery performance improved compared to 2012 and 2013, when damage to the pipeline in Togolese waters interrupted gas supply to Ghana for a 12-month period. Even if Ghana was supplied with all of the contracted 120 Mmscf/d, this quantity would still not be enough to fuel all of Ghana’s existing thermal power plants, let alone the future thermal developments (Energy Commission, 2014: 43).

Due to the lack of reliable gas supplies, Light Crude Oil (LCO) has been the predominant fuel in Ghana’s thermal power generation. Though LCO is less complex to import, its use in electricity generation is extremely costly: compared to gas, thermal power generation using LCO is three times more expensive (World Energy Council, 2013: 7). Since 2010, oil from Ghana’s Jubilee field can be refined in the coastal town of Tema to be used as LCO in Ghana’s thermal plants. Yet this only makes LCO marginally cheaper, as the opportunity cost of using Ghana’s oil as fuel for thermal generation is high.

Lack of an affordable fuel for thermal power generation and the resulting dependency on expensive LCO have placed a significant financial constraint on the Ghanaian electricity sector. To secure a comparatively inexpensive fuel for thermal generation capacity, the availability of which the VRA and Independent Power Producers (IPPs) can rely on, is thus a key challenge in ‘curing’ Ghana’s electricity sector. The significance of this situation is elevated by the steadily decreasing water levels of Lake Volta at the Akosombo Dam – home to Ghana’s crucial 1,020 MW Akosombo hydroelectric plant. There is growing concern that the low water levels that have reduced generation capacity on several occasions in past dry seasons may become a permanent problem (Andoh, 2014). Amidst a long-term downwards trend, the average water level at Akosombo has fallen from 84 metres in 2010, to 81 metres in 2012, and 77 metres in 2014. Thus, considering the existing power generation capacity, Ghana’s electricity sector appears to be in a crisis.

### 2.2 Electricity sector institutions

Ghana’s electricity sector is largely comprised of state-owned companies. Private sector participation can only be found in electricity generation: two independent power producers (IPPs) are operating thermal plants that contribute 271 MW of installed capacity, which amounts to approximately 13 per cent of Ghana’s overall capacity (World Bank, 2013: 9). The public VRA is the dominant actor in electricity generation and is responsible for 1,854 MW of installed capacity, or 87 per cent of overall...
capacity. The VRA reported a loss of $28m in 2012, a loss of $39m in 2013, and is expected to declare yet larger losses in its 2014 annual report (VRA, 2013). In the recent past, the VRA has repeatedly failed to pay for its supplies of LCO on time and as a consequence is treated as a high-risk client by oil suppliers. However, the VRA is not the only institution in the Ghanaian electricity sector displaying financial fragility.

The Electricity Company of Ghana (ECG) is the country’s main electricity distribution company. Due to poorly maintained infrastructure, a shortage of essential skills in Ghana’s labour pool, and operational practices lacking transparency, the ECG suffers from significant system losses (27 per cent in 2012) and a weak tariff collection regime (World Bank, 2013: 10). At a time when not enough electricity is available in the first place, high system losses are particularly painful. The ECG declared a loss of $41.5m in 2012 and is expected to have generated a loss of approximately $60m in 2013 (ECG, 2013: 17). The financial performance of the Northern Electricity Distribution Company (NEDCo), responsible for distribution in northern Ghana, resembles that of the ECG.3

Considering the poor fiscal situation of Ghana’s electricity producers and distributors, the finances of the Ghana Grid Company Limited (GRIDCo) – the sole publicly-owned electricity transmission company – are surprisingly good. Operating at a small but consistent profit since the establishment of the company in 2008, GRIDCo has been referred to as a ‘well-run transmission company’ that is ‘financially viable’ by the World Bank, which has also called for the company to be given more responsibility in devising a long-term plan for the power sector (World Bank, 2013: 11).

Regulation of Ghana’s electricity sector is performed by the Public Utilities Regulatory Commission (PURC). Although a mechanism is in place to ensure that tariffs are adjusted periodically – called the automatic indexation scheme – PURC has struggled to alter electricity tariffs because of interference from the government (World Bank, 2013: 31). The performance of PURC is further constrained by a shortage of staff with experience and skills relevant to the work of a regulatory authority and low levels of transparency in the commission’s decision making process on tariff adjustments. Ghana’s electricity sector thus does not only suffer from insufficient generation capacity, but also from financially unviable, inefficient key companies and poor regulation.

2.3 Access to electricity

Making electricity available to the entire population has been a stated goal of the Ghanaian government since it approved the National Electrification Scheme (NES) in 1989 (Ministry of Energy, 1989: 29). At the time of the last survey in 2010, 60.5 per cent of Ghana’s households could access electricity, making electricity in Ghana more available than anywhere else in West Africa (World Bank, 2010).

However, progress to achieve the NES goal to connect 100 per cent of Ghana’s households to the electricity grid by 2020 has slowed considerably since the early 2000s. In 1989, with the electrification rate running at 28 per cent, the Ghanaian government’s primary challenge was the expansion of access (Abavana, 2011). Electricity demand has grown since then of course, partly due to the increased number of people with power access. The government now has the double challenge of complying with NES objectives to improve electricity access while raising generation capacity to meet increased demand from the existing consumers. Confronted with this problem, the Ghanaian government has reduced its efforts toward further electrification in order to avoid worsening the shortages of existing electricity customers. This decision has been partly informed by the fact that many of the communities that remain unconnected to electricity grids live in isolated rural areas that lack political and economic influence. Ghana’s dearth of electricity thus contributes to rising inequality in the country.

3 The NEDCo distributes electricity in Ghana’s less densely populated north and in terms of unit sales is substantially smaller than the ECG.
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3. Discovery of natural gas and implications for the electricity sector

Kosmos Energy discovered the Jubilee oil and gas field in Ghanaian waters in 2007. Estimated to hold 3 billion barrels of oil (bbl) and 335 billion cubic feet (Bcf) of natural gas, the discovery is of great significance for Ghana's energy sector. While oil production at the Jubilee field began in 2010, associated gas has not yet been produced. As the government’s Energy Sector Strategy and Development Plan (2010) banned the flaring of gas in order to maximize benefits of gas utilization, associated gas has until now been re-injected into the Jubilee field (Ministry of Energy, 2010: 18). Oil production levels have been suffering from this practice (Dontoh, 2014). In the wake of the Jubilee discovery oil and gas exploration off Ghana’s coast intensified, leading to further discoveries as shown in Figure 2. The Tweneboa, Enyenra, and Ntomme (TEN) oil and gas fields are estimated to contain gas reserves of 353 Bcf, while the Sankofa and Gye Nyame non-associated gas fields are estimated to hold approximately 1,168 and 982 Bcf respectively.

Figure 2: Offshore oil and gas fields Ghana, 2011

Source: Ghana Oil Watch (2011)

Inherently, these gas discoveries have considerable implications for Ghana’s electricity sector. As discussed previously, gas supplies to Ghana’s thermal power plants through the WAGP have been unreliable and insufficient. This has had a negative impact on the fiscal position of generation companies and reduced necessary investments in the maintenance and expansion of electricity generation infrastructure. The discovery of domestic gas reserves may stimulate a reversal to a more financially sustainable electricity sector by providing a reliable and affordable fuel for electricity
generation. In theory, the use of domestic gas reserves can greatly improve the VRA’s fiscal position, allowing it to invest in additional generation capacity and make Ghana more attractive for IPPs. Also, developing gas to power market reduces reliance on Nigerian imports through the WAGP. Thus, the utilization of domestic gas reserves would be a large step towards enhancing the performance of the electricity sector. In addition, relative to the utilization of LCO, gas is also an environmentally friendly fuel with lower outputs of harmful air emissions (US Environmental Protection Agency, 2015).

Utilization of gas in Ghana has so far only taken place in the power sector. All thermal plants, including those of IPPs, are located in the coastal cities of Tema and Aboadze (near Takoradi), where they are directly supplied with gas from the WAGP (see Figure 3).

Figure 3: Route of the West African Gas Pipeline (WAGP)

Since 2011, and in response to the discovery of the Jubilee oil and gas field, Ghana has been expanding its gas infrastructure to facilitate the commercial utilization of gas reserves in electricity generation. Financed by the Chinese Development Bank (CDB), an offshore gas pipeline has been constructed to connect the Jubilee field to the coastal town of Atuabo in Ghana’s far west (see Figure 4). From Atuabo, a 100km long onshore pipeline was built to supply gas to the thermal power plants in Aboadze. The building of a gas processing plant started in 2011 in Atuabo, and the plant became operational eventually after completion of the project suffered from severe delays (Ministry of Energy and Petroleum, 2014).
3.1 Delays and transparency in the gas sector development

Although the infrastructure needed to initiate the domestic utilization of gas at low volume is in place, following the completion of the gas processing plant in Atuabo, the experience of developing a domestic gas sector has been difficult for Ghana. Gas from the Jubilee field had originally been scheduled to be available as fuel for thermal generation plants by late 2012, but various incidents and cost explosions have caused delays in the development of the necessary infrastructure.

The gas processing plant at Atuabo was delayed by 18 months due to a court-enforced construction stop of five months in 2014 while the issue of the site’s land ownership was investigated (O’Cinneide, 2014). Despite building costs that exceed $850m, Ghana Gas – the state-owned company in charge of gas processing – began construction works in 2011 without legal documents asserting the company’s right to the land. The resulting court proceedings revealed non-transparent business conduct among the Ghana Gas leadership that the judges described as ‘shameful, extremely damaging to our economy and ultimately unpatriotic’ (O’Cinneide, 2014). Though it might be tempting to blame such revelations on non-transparent and corrupt conditions pervading the wider Ghanaian economy, this would be a limiting standpoint. In the World Bank’s ‘Ease of Doing Business Index’ – an indicator that rewards transparency – Ghana is ranked among the top five countries in Sub-Saharan Africa and ranks higher than European economies such as the Czech Republic and Croatia (World Bank, 2014). Transparency International states that it does not perceive corruption in Ghana to be ‘as extensive as in most other African countries’ (Chene, 2010).
4. Economic value of gas-to-power and export utilization

4.1 International precedents
Countries can utilize natural gas reserves in a number of ways. Gas can be employed for industrial use (for example to produce cement), to produce fertilizers, to heat homes, or to fuel natural gas vehicles (NGVs). This paper, however, is focused on the in-depth evaluation of two options: the gas-to-power utilization of gas and gas exports. Many countries around the world began the development of their domestic gas sectors with the advancement of the gas-to-power market (Cho, 2010: 97), including Colombia, Thailand, Israel, Tanzania, and many others. Not only does gas-to-power utilization generate a high economic value of gas compared to most other domestic utilization opportunities, it also has positive spillover effects as reliable and sufficient electricity supply enables growth. On the other hand, countries such as Yemen, Nigeria, and Indonesia have prioritized gas exports. This is while the need for gas as a fuel for thermal electricity generation in these three countries is no less than in Tanzania or Thailand. However, for reasons examined below, some countries decided to export their gas reserves instead of utilizing it domestically in the gas-to-power market (as well as other sectors at a later stage). To pursue a mixed strategy of creating both a domestic gas-to-power industry and export facilities is not an option in Ghana’s case: the required infrastructure investments for both options relative to Ghana’s total proved gas reserves are unviable (Nexant, 2014: 9).

This section examines the economic value of both utilization options. The opportunity cost of an export policy is the domestic utilization of gas reserves, and the opportunity cost of domestic gas utilization is the foregone revenue from exports. In order to determine which of the two options the government of Ghana should pursue, we must assess the economic value of exports and domestic gas-to-power utilization.

4.2 The economic value of gas-to-power utilization
With insufficient supply of gas available to the domestic gas-to-power market, thermal plants have been fuelled with LCO. Ghana imported LCO until 2010 but now refines oil for this purpose from the Jubilee oil field. Yet despite the availability of domestic oil, the opportunity of producing gas and utilizing it in the local gas-to-power market appears attractive. According to World Bank estimates presented in Figure 5, the cost of associated gas from the Jubilee oil field to the Takoradi thermal plant equals $2.25/MMBtu (World Bank, 2013: 37). Comparing this to the cost of LCO at $17.24/MMBtu, Ghana could save $14.99 on every MMBtu that is produced with gas instead of LCO. Placing this figure in a recent context, it has been estimated that in 2014 it costs the VRA an additional $1m per day to use LCO as compared to gas received from Nigeria through the WAGP at a cost of $8/MMBtu (World Bank, 2013: 12). Another financial incentive for domestic gas utilization is that using gas as a fuel for the country’s thermal plants will free up oil – previously refined into LCO – for other purposes such as export.
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4.3 Construction of a LNG export terminal

The global demand for LNG is growing rapidly. From an annual demand of 100m tonnes in 2000, it had soared to more than 200m tonnes in 2010 and is projected to reach 400m tonnes by 2020 (Ernst & Young, 2014). In light of this forecast, LNG export terminals worldwide have been highly successful in securing finance, although this is becoming a more difficult task given: the recent decline in the oil price, cuts in capital expenditure by the energy industry, and the highly uncertain outlook for LNG given the uncertainty in regional demand outlooks in the light of new LNG supply currently under construction. Nevertheless, after looking at two recent LNG export terminal construction projects in Nigeria and Papua New Guinea, the financing for an LNG export terminal in Ghana still seems highly feasible.

Nigeria, a fellow West African country, is home to massive gas reserves that it uses domestically and exports, both through the WAGP and in the form of LNG. An LNG export terminal project known as NLNGPlus raised $2.2bn for the development of a new export terminal in 2006. Although Nigeria has a much lower ranking in the World Bank’s ‘Ease of Doing Business Index’ than Ghana and has a higher likelihood of political risks emerging, 20 international commercial banks and the African
Development Bank provided loans for the project (Doing Business, 2014; Maplecroft, 2014; Lee, 2013: 6). For an LNG export project completed in 2014 in Papua New Guinea – a country with a GDP per capita 35 per cent lower than that of Ghana – $19bn in funds were sourced from a variety of commercial banks and international organizations (Lee, 2013: 8). The involvement of export credit agencies providing loan guarantees proved crucial to attract loans from commercial banks in both projects.

With the demand for LNG growing and a range of precedents for successfully financed LNG export projects, it is probable that Ghana will be able to attract financing for an export facility. The attractiveness of an LNG export project in Ghana is heightened by a combination of recent technological innovations and the fact that the country’s gas reserves are offshore. Floating LNG (FLNG) export terminals have been pioneered by Shell in Australia since 2011 and have been gaining popularity for the liquefaction of offshore gas reserves (Shell, 2014). Located directly above the gas reserves, FLNG export vessels remove the need for expensive pipelines to an onshore terminal, thereby reducing environmental impact and capital expenditures (CAPEX). At an approximate price of $2.4bn for an FLNG export vessel, the capital cost per tonne of LNG produced with an FLNG vessel is roughly $540 as compared to greenfield onshore terminals that commonly feature capital costs of $2,500 (Riviera, 2013). With comparatively little funding needed for a FLNG export terminal, a viable LNG export project in Ghana is therefore a practicable option.

For the export scenario, LNG is considered Ghana’s only realistic option for export within a five-year timeframe. Pipeline exports to countries in the region are not feasible. The WAGP transports gas from Nigeria to Ghana via Benin and Togo. To reverse the flow of gas in order for Ghana to export gas to Benin and Togo is not possible due to binding contracts not due to expire before 2030 (West African Gas Pipeline Company, 2014). To build an entirely new pipeline within five years to do the job is equally inconceivable. Apart from Benin and Togo, the negotiations regarding a pipeline that supplies regional states with Ghanaian gas would need to be preceded by lengthy domestic discussions in those countries on whether to develop a domestic gas market at all.

### 4.4 The economic value of exports

With LNG thus being Ghana’s only feasible export option, the economic value of exporting gas in this manner can be determined by using the economic netback method. The netback is calculated by subtracting all costs associated with delivering LNG from the price for gas. The figures presented in Table 2 assume that Ghana will export its LNG to Japan, which was the world’s largest importer of LNG in 2014.

---

4 In the Ease of Doing Business ranking Nigeria is ranked 170th, while Ghana is ranked 70th. In Maplecroft’s Political Risk Index Nigeria is described as a ‘high risk country’, while Ghana is a ‘medium risk country’.
By exporting its natural gas to Japan, the government of Ghana can expect to generate revenues of $3.3/MMBtu after subtracting the cost for transportation, liquefaction, and regasification. Were the off-taker in Europe or North America, costs would be even lower thanks to reduced shipping costs due to the shorter geographical distance to these markets. However, the long-term gas price forecast for Europe and North America is lower than for Japan, which due to its geographic location and relatively high, inelastic demand is considered a premium market. In other words, regardless of the buyer Ghana can expect revenues of approximately $3.3/MMBtu. However, it should be pointed out that Table 2 draws on calculations carried out in 2013. In light of the significant decrease in oil prices (down 50 per cent in 2014) and a large LNG supply surplus that has been developing since 2013, Ghana’s expected revenues from export might yet be lower when estimated in 2015.

From an economic value point of view, the incentive for Ghana to pursue an export-oriented gas strategy is much smaller than the incentive to utilize the gas in the domestic gas-to-power market. While Ghana could generate government revenues from selling gas on the world market at an economic netback gas price of $3.3/MMBtu, the expected savings achieved from using gas instead of LCO in the country’s thermal plants far exceed the expected export revenues. Associated gas can be supplied to thermal plants at approximately $2.25/MMBtu as opposed to $17.24/MMBtu for LCO. Put differently, with every MMBtu of domestic associated gas used in thermal power generation the state-owned VRA saves $14.99, while exporting the same MMBtu would have generated $3.3 in government revenue. This substantial gap of nearly $12 per MMBtu in increased economic efficiency grows further if one accounts for the revenues generated from oil sales, which would no longer need to be used in the form of LCO in thermal power production.

It has previously been discussed that the government could set gas tariffs just below the price for LCO, but is instead likely to sell gas at a lower price and remove existing subsidies to thermal power plants. However, it should be highlighted that this lower price can still be substantially higher than the revenue earned from exports. If the government were to charge the VRA and IPPs $8/MMBtu for gas at current electricity tariffs, then the thermal plants – assuming adequate management – ought to earn a profit (World Bank, 2013: 36). At the same time, $4.7 per MMBtu in additional sales revenue will have been generated for the state compared to the export utilization option.

### Table 2: Economic netback gas price for the export scenario

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Component</th>
<th>$/MMBtu</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Long-term gas price forecast</td>
<td>7.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transport cost from Jubilee oil field to LNG export terminal</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liquefaction costs</td>
<td>1.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shipping costs to Japan</td>
<td>1.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Regasification costs</td>
<td>0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Economic Netback Gas Price</strong></td>
<td><strong>3.3</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: World Bank (2013) and authors’ calculations
4.5 Further economic and institutional evaluation: gas-to-power versus exports

Based on an economic value analysis, it is evident that the government should utilize the country’s gas reserves in the domestic gas-to-power market rather than to earn export revenues. However, as the decision of countries such as Yemen and Nigeria to prefer the export option demonstrates, economic value is not the only criterion upon which the choice whether to export or domestically utilize gas reserves is made. Ghana’s domestic gas sector has experienced a range of severe problems, raising the issue of whether further efforts to develop the domestic gas sector should be abandoned in favour of an export strategy. These problems relate to the lack of adequately trained and experienced staff and poor institutional development in terms of the sector’s design, competition, and regulation.

Figure 6 presents the institutional and regulatory framework of Ghana’s gas sector. While there have been a number of instances where the government failed to enforce the design of the gas sector, as defined in the 2010 Energy Sector Strategy and Development Plan (ESSDP), the overarching problems are actually shortcomings in the design itself (Aklorbortu, 2013). For instance, to take advantage of the know-how accumulated in the transport of oil, the government added gas transmission to the mandate of the country’s oil transport utility, the Bulk Oil Supply and Transport Company (BOST). However, a monopoly on both oil and gas transport potentially distorts BOST’s incentives. If the company sees operational costs for natural gas transmission increase, it has the incentive to transport oil rather than gas to Ghana’s thermal power plants, even though gas is cheaper for electricity generation. In this way BOST’s oil & gas monopolies cast serious doubt on whether gas can be utilized reliably in the domestic gas sector, thus boosting the argument in favour of an export strategy.

**Figure 6: Institutional and regulatory framework of Ghana’s gas sector**

![Diagram of Ghana's gas sector institutions](source)

Source: Authors

---

5 Particularly BOST – the state-owned transmission company of oil and gas – and Ghana Gas have in the past tried to expand their responsibilities in violation of the ESSDP.

6 The remuneration for units of oil and gas transported is fixed for a period of three years in Ghana. The anti-competitive incentive would only disappear once remuneration has been adjusted.
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Furthermore, Ghana divides the regulatory responsibilities in the downstream gas sector between a technical regulator and an economic regulator (see Figure 7) – a mechanism that is unique in gas regulation worldwide (Kapika and Eberhard, 2013: 195). This dual approach is often criticized for a number of reasons, chiefly the lengthy decision-making process due to consultation processes between the two regulators, and the fact that some issues may be addressed by both regulators while others remain entirely unaddressed (Kapika and Eberhard, 2013: 206). While dual regulation encourages specialization, equal attention to technical and economic regulation, and competition between the two regulators, the lack of qualified human capital to pursue gas regulation in general – not to mention an unexplored approach for which no experience-based guidelines are available – implies that Ghana should avoid experimenting with new methods of regulation. Like the decision to place BOST in charge of oil and gas transport, a regulatory arrangement has been selected that is highly unusual by international standards of best practice. The current regulatory framework of the gas sector exposes it to substantial risks, especially given that Ghana is the first country to use dual regulation. Opting to utilize Ghana’s gas reserves for export would avoid these domestic regulatory risks.

Given the severe capacity constraints among the institutions of Ghana’s gas sector highlighted above, the government is highly reliant on IOCs to develop its gas reserves. IOCs inherent skills and experience in energy markets – developing infrastructure, securing financing etc. – are essential to create enabling conditions in the Ghanaian gas-to-power market. Thus the preferences of IOCs matter a great deal, specifically as to whether to engage in upstream and downstream activities aimed at export or for domestic utilization. IOCs usually favour utilization of gas reserves for exports as opposed to domestic utilization. For IOCs, the world market for LNG is more attractive than producing gas for the domestic market, particularly with regard to pricing and flexibility. In particular, due to a larger role that IOCs play in the export process, IOCs usually earn higher revenues from export projects. In addition, encouraging export strategies allows IOCs to avoid the fragility of domestic gas markets, including the risk of the VRA being unable to pay for gas supplies. IOCs are thus unlikely to offer Ghana the same level of financial, technical, and managerial support for developing its domestic gas-to-power market as they might if Ghana opted for an export-led strategy.

Finally, earning a steady flow of export revenues from selling fossil fuels abroad is obviously an attractive option, especially for developing countries. Yemen, previously mentioned for its strategic decision to focus on the export of its gas reserves, funds nearly its entire government budget through revenues earned from exporting oil and gas (Gerner and Tordo, 2011: 519). Ghana’s fiscal performance has continuously produced a budget deficit over the last decade. In 2013, the budget deficit amounted to $2.15bn or 8.4 per cent of GDP, as shown in Table 3.

**Table 3: Ghana’s fiscal performance, 2013**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ghana’s fiscal performance 2013</th>
<th>$4.07bn</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Revenue</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tax revenue</td>
<td>$2.92bn</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oil revenue</td>
<td>$0.98bn</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grants</td>
<td>$0.17bn</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Expenditure</strong></td>
<td>$6.22bn</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Overall Fiscal Balance (deficit)</strong></td>
<td>($2.15bn)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


Ghana’s major problems in generating government revenue include fewer grants from development partners and, more importantly, a weak tax collection system (PwC, 2014: 25). While taxes are mostly collected in the form of import and export duties and fees – as well as from large corporations –
taxation of SMEs (small and medium-sized enterprises) and households remains sporadic, with an informal sector compromising 80 per cent of the total labour force (Osei-Boateng, 2011: 4). While the export of Ghana’s gas reserves is unlikely to balance the budget, it would decrease the deficit and reduce the need for the government either to increase revenue from other sources or cut expenditure.

The considerations presented above as advantages of exporting gas could also be perceived as drawbacks. By depending on gas revenues, Ghana would become more fiscally vulnerable due to possible price changes of gas on the world market, while the domestic electricity crisis would persist. Furthermore, the export of gas reserves could encourage Dutch disease in Ghana, signs of which can already be detected from the export of oil (Arthur and Arthur, 2015: 64). As predicted by the resource curse, exporting gas could compromise economic diversification in Ghana by making exports less competitive.

In short, going beyond the analysis of the economic value of both utilization options alone, the decision on whether to export gas or use it in the domestic power sector is not straightforward. The institutional framework of the domestic gas sector is weak, IOCs are more supportive of export strategies, and exporting gas generates an additional channel of revenues for the Ghanaian government. However, an export strategy could also have harmful outcomes for the economy and reduce the government’s incentive to pursue a prudent fiscal policy. Furthermore, the economic value analysis indicates clearly that Ghana ought to adopt a gas utilization strategy focused on the domestic gas-to-power market.

---

7 Dutch disease describes the process when a substantial inflow of foreign currency causes a country’s currency to appreciate making the country’s export goods less competitive.
5. Key challenges for advancement of Ghana's electricity industry

5.1 Effective tariff setting to encourage investment

In addition to the lack of a reliable and affordable source of fuel for power generation, other factors inhibit the development of the electricity sector in Ghana. Generation tariffs set by PURC have a crippling effect on the electricity sector. For 2015, PURC approved a tariff rate of 14.6047 pesewas\(^8\) per kWh ($0.04 per kWh) for electricity produced by the VRA and 23.7408 pesewas per kWh ($0.06 per kWh) for electricity produced by IPPs. The electricity generation tariff in Ghana is thus approximately $0.09 below the average of $0.14 per kWh in Sub-Saharan Africa (Ncube, 2013). Though Ghana has historically relied on cheap hydroelectric power generation, nearly 50 per cent of power generation is now thermal, for which the marginal cost is significant. For the gas-to-power market, current electricity tariffs are too low to permit producers to operate in a fiscally sustainable manner, while investment levels in the industry also suffer as a result.

The financial fragility of the electricity sector – in large part caused by low generation tariffs – has acutely compromised investments. With the VRA and the ECG having experienced losses during the majority of years in the last decade, the government has in the past elected to resolve the financial problems of both public companies through direct subsidies (World Bank, 2013: 33). This has caused investment levels to be very low both in generation capacity and the network, with the VRA struggling to meet increasing demand and the ECG unable to drive its electrification program. Additionally, as a result of insufficient reinvestment to counteract depreciation, system losses have soared and reduced the ECG’s operational efficiency still further while deepening the electricity shortages. An efficient tariff structure is critical for the power system’s short-term fiscal sustainability and ensuring long-term investment in the sector. The main principles guiding an effective tariff design are system sustainability, economic efficiency, and consumer protection. Following these principles guarantees not only operational and capital cost recovery but also fairness, simplicity, cost reflectivity, efficiency, and transparency of tariff design practice. Figure 7 presents the fundamental principles of rate design for an electricity system. Not taking into account the aforementioned principles in the rate design will lead to a fiscally unstable, inefficient, and unfair power system. Also, tariff setting has far-reaching impacts beyond the financial viability of the sector. It is a politically sensitive issue that requires an independent regulator to implement an economically informed tariff structure.

---

\(^8\) One hundred pesewas makes one Ghana cedi.
Figure 7: Fundamental principles of electricity tariff design

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Economic efficiency</th>
<th>Consumer protection</th>
<th>System sustainability</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Productive efficiency: services are provided at minimum possible cost</td>
<td>5. Transparency: Adopted methodology and results of tariff setting is available to all users.</td>
<td>8. Universal access: Access to electricity to be guaranteed to all network users</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Allocative efficiency: customers being charged based on how much they value the service they receive</td>
<td>6. Simplicity: Adopted methodology and results of tariff setting is easy to understand</td>
<td>9. Cost recovery: complete cost recovery of legitimate costs of utility company</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Cost-causality: Tariff should be reflective of the user’s cost contribution to the system</td>
<td>7. Stability: Tariff being stable in the short term and gradually changing in the long term in order to reduce regulatory uncertainty</td>
<td>10. Additivity: the sum of which has to add up to the total revenue requirement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Equity: Charging the consumer the same for the similar good or service</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Authors adapted from Athawale and Felder (2016)

The tariff guidelines published by PURC since 1999, and updated on various occasions in the early 2000s, are claimed to be designed based on best practice standards. However, due to political interference, the tariffs that PURC recommends based on its tariff framework are in many instances not implemented. For example, the Automatic Adjustment Formula (AAF) for Setting Electricity Tariffs, last revised in 2011, states clearly that ‘the main objective of the AAF is to review quarterly, electricity tariffs to reflect changes in factors whose effects on operations were considered beyond the control of the utility companies’ (PURC, 2011:2) – such as the cost of fuel.

Yet the government often refuses to ratify the tariffs recommended by PURC, which are based on the AAF. PURC itself describes the current electricity tariffs as ‘inadequate’ (PURC, 2015). The government is determined to avoid drastic measures that could affect end-user prices in order to maintain popular support, even if this implies infringing the constitutional independence of the PURC. To make investment in Ghana’s electricity generation industry more attractive requires continuous political will to adhere to the framework in place. For the government of Ghana to build confidence with local and international investors, the electricity value chain as a whole needs to be adjusted. Reform of the distribution tariff can help distribution companies to improve their financial position and thereby accommodate more realistic generation prices.

Furthermore, distribution tariffs indicate how the power system costs are allocated among users and this has implications for system sustainability, efficiency, and consumer protection. There are various models of distribution tariffs with different effects in terms of incentives for energy efficiency, cost reflectivity, investment incentives, and impact on economically challenged consumers. Table 4 presents the most commonly adopted distribution tariffs and their definitions. These tariffs are not mutually exclusive, in fact regulators sometimes combine two (or more) tariff models to achieve their specific objectives.
The generation and distribution tariff has evolved in Ghana in recent years, but not in response to the changes in the actual cost of providing the service. An overview of how generation and distribution tariffs have developed in recent years is provided in Figure 8 below.
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**Figure 8: Generation and Distribution Tariffs in Ghana, 2007–2015**
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Source: Public Utilities Regulatory Commission (2015a) and authors’ calculations

Figure 8 shows that generation tariffs received by the VRA increased from approximately 6 pesewas per kWh ($0.02 per kWh) in 2007 to 14.60 pesewas per kWh ($0.04 per kWh) in 2015. Despite this increase, which has been steeper for IPPs, tariffs remain too low for power producers in Ghana to operate at a reasonable profit. While generation tariffs approximately doubled from 2007 to 2015, distribution tariffs more than tripled. This indicates that transmission and distribution service charges have risen significantly in the same period. Yet, with a residential tariff of 42.29 pesewas per kWh in 2015 for customers that consume between 51 and 300 kWh per month, electricity in Ghana remains very cheap by international standards. Furthermore, taking into account the high levels of inflation Ghana has experienced since 2007, the larger part of tariff adjustments account for the overall rise in price levels and not the actual cost of production (see Figure 9).

**Figure 9: Inflation rate in Ghana, 2007–2014**

![Inflation rate in Ghana](image)

Source of data: World Bank (2015b)
Although the residential and non-residential distribution tariffs have increased considerably in recent years, they have done so from very low levels and further rises in the price of electricity will need to occur in order to raise generation tariffs. This will render direct subsidies from the government unnecessary and create a climate more conducive to long-term planning and investment in the electricity sector. In this process it is crucial that PURC can decide on and implement tariff adjustments independently from the government.

That tariff setting by PURC has so far been treated as appropriate in principle but deterred by the government in practice, raises concerns in this context with regard to distribution tariffs. Table 5 shows Ghanaian distribution tariffs in 2015 and the method by which they are designed.

**Table 5: End user distribution tariffs in Ghana, 2015**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>EUT Tariff Category</th>
<th>Effective April 2015, Billing Cycle</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Residential</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0-50 (Exclusive)</td>
<td>21.0795</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>51-300</td>
<td>42.2910</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>301 – 600</td>
<td>54.8855</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>601+</td>
<td>60.9839</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Service Charge</td>
<td>397.7209</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Non-Residential</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0-300</td>
<td>60.7983</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>301 – 600</td>
<td>64.6959</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>601+</td>
<td>102.0817</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Service Charge</td>
<td>662.8682</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Public Utilities Regulatory Commission (2015b)

Ghana’s distribution tariff is an inclined block tariff model with user differentiation. In other words, residential and non-residential customers are charged different rates at each block. The consumer differentiation is an indication of cross-subsidies in Ghana’s electricity sector. While the lifeline household (living below or at the poverty line) in Ghana pays a residential tariff of $0.05 per kWh, commercial customers are subject to a non-residential tariff ranging between $0.15 and $0.24 per kWh (PURC, 2013: 6), depending on their overall electricity consumption. Accordingly, the Electricity Company of Ghana (ECG) receives 56 per cent of its sales revenue from commercial customers, though this customer group only represents 12 per cent of the company’s unit sales (World Bank, 2013: 24). For Ghana’s SMEs, as well as large corporations having to cross-subsidize residential customers’ consumption of electricity is a considerable constraint that discourages economic growth. An essential challenge in Ghana’s electricity sector will be finding a compromise where lifeline customers can still afford to consume electricity and businesses are not hindered in their growth because of a cross-subsidy burden. While price increases will need to occur for both residential and non-residential tariffs, a priority should be to specifically increase residential tariffs for households that consume more than the lifeline quantity of electricity.

From an economic perspective, an inclined block tariff mimics the supply merit order curve – where the system operator stacks generators from the lowest to the highest cost and calls upon them accordingly as demand increases during the day. The change in cost when demand increases and the system operator calls costlier power plants must be reflected in the rate for each block, otherwise low consuming users will subsidize high consuming ones. In the case of Ghana there might be the possibility of increasing the rate for high consuming blocks, as they impose a higher cost to the system both at generation and network levels. With reference to Table 5, Ghanaian households consuming more than 600 kWh of electricity per month currently pay 60.98 pesewas per kWh ($0.15 per kWh). In contrast, customers in the same consumption category in Brazil pay $0.17 per kWh, in Japan $0.26 per kWh, and in Spain $0.30 per kWh (Energy Collective, 2013b). In South Africa – among other Sub-Saharan countries – customers in the respective consumption category are charged less than in Ghana: in 2015 the price is $0.13 per kWh (Eskom, 2014: 33). While exchange rates cause a level of distortion and South Africa’s generation mix is different to Ghana’s, South Africa’s low residential tariffs show that Ghana is only one of several Sub-Saharan countries with unsustainably low electricity tariffs.
A notable exception to the burden placed on Ghanaian businesses by the cross-subsidy is the state-owned Volta Aluminium Company (VALCO). Although only running at 20 per cent of its capacity, VALCO consumes 3.5 per cent of Ghana's total electricity supply (Economic Consulting Associates, 2014: 26). To break even, VALCO requires electricity supplied at a price of approximately $0.044–$0.066 per kWh. At the current non-residential distribution tariff, the price of electricity for VALCO should be 99 pesewas per kWh ($0.24 per kWh). However, VALCO has negotiated favourable terms with the government – essentially representing a subsidy – that allows the company to consume electricity at a price at which VALCO breaks even. The government has defended this arrangement by quoting the positive spillover effects VALCO creates for the Ghanaian economy. However, the question is whether the social benefit of spillover in this case outweighs the social cost of tariff underpayment.

5.2 Lack of an effective regulatory framework for investment

Similar to regulation and implementation of electricity tariffs, the framework for private investment in Ghana’s gas-to-power sector is insufficient. The Ghanaian government recently began an effort to design feed-in tariffs to encourage the growth of renewable energy forms, but these laudable efforts will not suffice to develop the generation capacity needed on a national scale.

To catch up with Ghana’s steadily rising demand for electricity, private investors in the gas-to-power market are required. However, Ghana’s electricity sector does not feature attractive conditions for private investors. Today there are just two IPPs, one American and one Chinese, operating thermal power plants in Ghana. The VRA is a minor stakeholder in both ventures, effectively making IPPs in Ghana public-private partnerships (PPPs). Incentives for IPPs in Ghana are substantial, including tax breaks, government guarantees, and preferential treatment (Ministry of Finance and Economic Planning, 2011: 6). For instance, the ECG has to pay the IPPs before paying the VRA for the electricity they produce. However, despite this advantageous arrangement, the ECG had outstanding bills with the two IPPs amounting to $15m in 2012 (World Bank, 2013: 25). Although the ECG’s debts with the VRA are larger, the ECG’s inability to pay IPPs has been compromising its credibility with IPP investors, who naturally prefer market conditions in which there is a reliable off-taker. The erratic supply of an affordable fuel for thermal electricity generation – as already discussed with regard to the VRA – acts as another obstacle to attracting private investors.

Finally, regulation for private sector participation in electricity generation in Ghana does not fulfil international best practice standards. Companies interested in entering the electricity sector as an IPP do not currently have a central point of contact in the country’s administration. Responsibility for public-private partnerships (the usual format of IPPs in Ghana) is shared between the Ministry of Finance and Economic Planning and the Ministry of Private Sector Development (Ministry of Finance and Economic Planning, 2014). Accordingly, companies seeking to found an IPP need to enter the lengthy and inefficient process of communications with two ministries instead of dealing with one dedicated unit. Though the government is working to streamline the business environment in this respect, it still remains difficult to attract IPPs to Ghana’s gas-to-power market due to a poor investment framework and low generation tariffs (Ministry of Finance and Economic Planning, 2014). The shortage of installed generation capacity is thus likely to persist.

5.3 Missing skills and experience

Ghana’s electricity sector – with the notable exception of GRIDCo – suffers from severe human capacity constraints such as insufficient levels of experience and skills. Brain drain is a prominent problem for Ghana’s work force, particularly as high-skilled migration from Ghana to work overseas – where wages and living conditions are perceived as more attractive – has doubled since 2000 (Gibson and McKenzie, 2009: 2). Due to mediocre educational opportunities and brain drain, there is a shortage of staff with skills and experience required for technical as well as managerial roles at all
levels of seniority throughout the electricity sector. The ECG, for instance, has struggled to achieve a number of essential business objectives as a result of skills shortages. In a study sponsored by the US government, lack of professional experience and skills have to a large extent been blamed for an erratic and non-transparent tariff collection regime in semi-urban areas (US Department of State, 2011: 61). From a technical perspective, the World Bank stresses that many power outages in Ghana are not actually caused by a capacity overload but through poor technical maintenance by the ECG (World Bank, 2013: 19).
6. Conclusion

This paper has highlighted that Ghana’s electricity sector is facing a severe lack of power generation capacity. In combination with an inefficient distribution network, insufficient generation capacity is responsible for a consistent power shortage in Ghana, with load shedding and power outages hampering economic growth and development. Lack of an affordable and reliable fuel source for power generation is a key factor behind the poor performance of the electricity sector. In light of the recent discovery of natural gas in Ghana, this paper has investigated whether gas can be a cure for the country’s power shortage and compared the utilization of domestic gas reserves in the gas-to-power market to export utilization. The comparison has shown that the economic value of gas-to-power utilization far exceeds that of gas exports, and also generates beneficial spillover effects given the positive impact that regular electricity supply has on economic growth and development. However, institutional problems in developing the domestic gas sector in areas such as regulation and gas transportation (which are mainly linked to the poor levels of human capital), as well as inherent preference for export-oriented strategies by both IOCs and the government, reveal the extent of the challenge involved in developing gas reserves for utilization in the domestic power sector.

In order to encourage investment in gas-fuelled thermal generation capacity, this paper recommends revising current electricity pricing structures. The rate for consumers with above average electricity demand – middle and high-income households in particular – needs to be modified so as to better reflect the cost they impose on the system. In addition, the regulatory framework for attracting potential IPPs requires streamlining: processes need to be more structured and a dedicated government unit to communicate with private investors in all regards is desirable.

Establishing a domestic gas-to-power sector does not imply that all the problems faced by the Ghana’s electricity sector will be completely solved. However, successfully introducing domestic gas as a fuel for thermal power plants will be an imperative first step that will facilitate the implementation of other much needed reforms.
Bibliography


Gas-to-power market investment incentive for enhancing generation capacity: an analysis of Ghana’s...


Gas-to-power market investment incentive for enhancing generation capacity: an analysis of Ghana’s...
Gas-to-power market investment incentive for enhancing generation capacity: an analysis of Ghana’s